

OFFENSE/INCIDENT REPORT			1. TYPE		REPORT CONTROL
INSTRUCTIONS ARE PRINTED SEPARATELY. IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS NEEDED, USE REVERSE OF FORM; IDENTIFY ITEMS.			<input type="checkbox"/> a. ORIGINAL	<input type="checkbox"/> b. CONTINUATION	SYMBOL
			<input type="checkbox"/> c. SUPPLEMENT OR FOLLOW-UP		PB-126-1
2. CODE NO.	7. NAME OF AGENCY/BUREAU	3. TYPE OF OFFENSE OR INCIDENT	4. CASE CONTROL NO.		
173D	DHS - department of	LDING RULES & REGULATIONS - conformity with signs and direct	D05016274		
5. BUILDING NO.	6. ADDRESS				
08-CO000	DFC Gate 4 Lakewood CO				
<p>9/26/2005</p> <p>On 26Sept2005 I was contacted by Denver MegaCenter Dispatch to procede to Gato 4, of the Denver Federal Center, in reference to an individual refusing to show proper identification at this inspection point. Upon arrival I made contact with the contract security officers who were present, and informed by them, that the individual was refusing to show identification or leave the bus.</p> <p>I boarded the bus and spoke with the individual, Deborah N. Davis (DOB [REDACTED]), asking why she was refusing. she explained she did not have to give up her rights and present her identification. I informed her she was entering a federal facility and that the regulations for entrance, did require her to present identification, before being allowed access. But that if she did not wish to do this she could leave the bus before it entered. She became argumentative and belligerent at this time stating she did not have to do this nor did she intend to show her identification. She also picked up her cellular telephone and made a call and went between ignoring my questions and answering them. I requested she hang the telephone up and speak with me directly but she refused. She also took up a defonsive posture with her body as if to resist, this posture remained through my contact with her, and would decrease and escalate.</p> <p>I exited the bus and spoke with the driver and security officer who both stated she had been through the facility before, and had been difficult on those occasions also. But on each had finally provided identification, as requested.</p> <p>I then re-entered the bus and informed her she was now being asked to present identification to a law enforcement official in the capacity of my job, for identification purposes. She stated she did not have to do this and refused to exit after once again being told she could leave or identify herself. I also informed her that the US Supreme Court had found this type of request to be legitimate. She stated she did not believe me. I also explained the regulations for facility ontrance required, either showing identification or being denied entrance. She refused once again.</p> <p>I exited the bus spoke with the driver and security officer, and then contact my supervision to inform of situation. I was told a second officer was en-route to assist. Upon this officers arrival we discussed options and decided to let him speak with her and give her a third chance to comply with our lawful request.</p> <p>After the responding backup officer, Insp. Pritchard, having spoke with Davis, and her once again refusing to leave or show identification to officers. And being explained once again that the request was lawful. She became argumentative and belligerent, and was physically removed from the bus, and placed under arrest. She briefly struggled with officers when placed into our custody. The bus and its other occupants were allowed access since they had identified themselves to security personnel.</p> <p>At this point Davis was transported to Bldg 1 and was processed and cited under 41 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) for Admissions to Property and Conformity With Signs and Directions, and Title 18 United States Code (USC) Part I Chap. 7 Section III (a) (1).</p>					