

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAHINAH IBRAHIM, an individual,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 06-00545 WHA

v.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,  
MICHAEL CHERTOFF, in his official capacity as the  
Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,  
TOM RIDGE, in his official capacity as the former  
Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,  
TRANSPORTATION SECURITY  
ADMINISTRATION, KIP HAWLEY, in his official  
capacity as Administrator of the Transportation  
Security Administration, DAVID M. STONE, in his  
official capacity as Acting Administrator of the  
Transportation Security Administration, TERRORIST  
SCREENING CENTER, DONNA A. BUCELLA, in  
her official capacity as Director of the Terrorist  
Screening Center, NORM MINETA, in his official  
capacity as Secretary of Transportation, FEDERAL  
AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, MARION C.  
BLAKEY, in her official capacity as Administrator of  
the Federal Aviation Administration, FEDERAL  
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, ROBERT  
MUELLER, in his official capacity as Director of the  
Federal Bureau of Investigation, SAN FRANCISCO  
AIRPORT, CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, COUNTY  
OF SAN FRANCISCO, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO,  
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, UAL  
CORPORATION, UNITED AIRLINES; DAVID  
NEVINS, an individual, RICHARD PATE, an  
individual, JOHN BONDANELLA, an individual,  
JOHN CUNNINGHAM, an individual, ELIZABETH  
MARON, an individual, and DOES 1 THROUGH  
100, inclusive,


**ORDER RE FEDERAL  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION  
TO FILE UNDER SEAL  
SENSITIVE SECURITY  
INFORMATION IN  
SUPPORT OF THEIR  
MOTION TO DISMISS FOR  
LACK OF SUBJECT-MATTER  
JURISDICTION**

Defendants.

1 This order provisionally grants the federal defendants' request to file purportedly  
2 sensitive security information under seal for *ex parte in camera* review by the Court. A final  
3 determination on whether the information is to remain under seal, however, cannot be made  
4 until the Court completes this review. Additionally, federal defendants are instructed to file and  
5 serve to plaintiff a supplemental document, not under seal, describing with as much detail as  
6 practicable the contents of the purported security information being provided to the Court.

7  
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9  
10 Dated: May 23, 2006



11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 WILLIAM ALSUP  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28